P-737-97

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1882-83

192

(1 Q.)

File No. 48-82.

Serial Nos. 158

Later references.

R. In 37-97- 55246.
R. In 37-04. 53+54.

27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 158

Part B for August 1883.

SUBJECT.

Dismissal of Krishnappa Shanbhogue of Kengeri & other villages. Bangalore.

Indexed 20/8/21

WD 1828—GPE—16,826—23-7-21

(General Serial No. 31.)

1883.

From

To

Dated 16 July

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 1986

No. 534

18 85-

From The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Bangalore

To

The Secretary to ~~Chief Commissioner~~ of Mysore,

L.R-48-85-47

Dated 1st January 1885.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 3 of Inward

General No. 1174 } 1885—84.
No. 1067

The Secretariat Letter No. 1985 dated 1st January 1885.

One petitioner praying the Petition of Venkatappa Chief Magistrate of Mysore to be called with the above, when the Petitioner to forward the proceedings in Mysore Petition Case No. 104 of 1884 to be registered and wait to request that the Petitioner may be informed that no longer required.

A. Thumboo Chetty
Secretary
in

(26

10

COURT FEE STAMP INDIA ONE RUPEE

1500

24/7/62

To

L. R. 47752 - 1st Sept.

The Honble Sir Thos. Maddock Kt. Deputy Secretary
Home to His Highness the Right Hon.ble
Governor of Bombay in Council

Honoured Sir,

I am directed by the Court to transmit to you the accompanying Petition of Nagoor Bhoy, Malabar Salaldeen, and to say that they will be glad to receive your report thereon at your earliest convenience

2. The Petitioner in 1853, during Genl Shethune's incumbency under Native Judicial, and Revenue Authority - During this period he regularly annually settled the Revenue amounting to upwards of Rupees - Castel as Shofdar, and exercised all the duties and charges thereby entailed upon the Office - He also rendered great assistance to the Revenue Survey - Upwards of 15 Months since he came to Pune - Some measurements & mapping of ground in connection with the Survey, the amount of Rs 2053 received from the Rajah immediately, but instructions to do so did not fully arrive - In the meantime, on account of some petty grounds offered by the Rajah for his attendance, Capt Pitman withheld the payment of the Rajah's Salute Guns - Petitioner, on receiving the above amount, with answers, thereupon left Poona -

Petitioner claims on the Rajah the amount of Rs 2053 - Capt Pitman's answer would not allow him at the time - Petitioner came on his tour in quelling disturbances - During that time he had a large amount of Government Cash in his possession - When this time did not arrive in getting the amount payable to him - Petitioner therefore left Poona - Petitioner has received from the Rajah Rs 1000 - Petitioner is entitled to the Rs 2053 - Petitioner would demand any damages, if Petitioner is entitled to any - Petitioner has been delayed in receiving the amount due by the Rajah, and claims to be received -

The above delay was represented by the Petitioner to the Deputy Commissioner, who referred the matter to the Collector for Report on the Chunnel Side - The Report in which was also enclosed, and which was one under Section 2 of the Chunnel Regulation 1827 - Upon this Petitioner was required to give security, stating that he would abide by the decision of the Court - Petitioner has given Rs 1000 security -

Upon the receipt of this order, I directed a letter to the Deputy Commissioner to enquire into the charges against Petitioner, and to report whether he was guilty of the charges - Letter dated 10th ult. and the reply dated 12th inst. enclosed from the Deputy Commissioner, a letter from the Magistrate dated 9th inst. recommending imprisonment - Petitioner found guilty -

It is clear that the Chunnel Regulations were not strictly observed - The circumstances should have been referred, but Petitioner the Magistrate recommended imprisonment of

on the Deputy Commissioner's Office that I may be sent the same to your Honor for granting the respective Stamp Duty on the

Stamp.

His Excellency on his Arrival in office, ordered and commanded me, and was pleased to appoint me Special Commissioner, Hundred Pounds per Annum. The Chief Secretary also ordered, and commanded me, that I should be in the Islands for some years, to settle the Inspectorate Affairs and generally to carry the Office of the

May it please your Honour to be pleased to take into your gracious consideration my faithful Services, and the circumstance of my having given up my Situation, and to recommend me to His Excellency the Governor in Council, that I may be appointed Inspector of Customs and Excise in the Islands of Trinidad, Tobago, and the Dependencies thereof, and that a Public Warrant or Commission may be granted me for the same, and that I may be sent to the Islands at the first opportunity, when the Office of Inspector of Customs and Excise may be established, and I be appointed to it, or to any other Office in the Islands, as your Honour may think proper, and I shall ever pray for your Honour's Health and Prosperity.

Reading the long enclosed Memorial, I was deeply affected with the Contents of it, and I was willing my Address to have a Tendency to your Honour's favourable Disposition towards the Petitioner, but I am sorry to find that the Petitioner's Services do not appear to have been considered by the Inspector, nor has he given any favourable Report of his Character, but he recommended him for Dismissal, which is to be seen in the Minutes of the Board of Commissioners at

I have a very grateful Remembrance of the Petitioner's Character, and other means of Information that I have

I beg leave respectfully to subscribe myself

Your Honour's most obedient humble Servant

Port of Spain
17th April 1805

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

Read 1 P. 1882-3

From Petition re [illegible] Ram & Ram
Bagalkot & Kaujeer
Bagalkot Talak.

To Record also:
Proceedings in Bagalkot
Revenue Case No 104 of 1877
forwarded with the Collr's
Civil Judge's Report No 156
1057

Dated

Despatched

Received 16 July 83.

Enclosures No. ——

General No.

No.

No 2524 of 89 Dy 7/8/83.

Order:—

The petitioners having been convicted by the Assistant Judge of the Bagalkot Taluka in Criminal Case No 104 of 1877 and sentenced to pay a fine, the Petitioners state that they are willing to pay the same in instalments.

The Petitioners therefore pray that they may be allowed to pay the fine in instalments.

[illegible]

[illegible] Bagalkot
Petitioners

(General Serial No. 31.)

(29)

1883.

From

L. A. 48-82-64

To The Lucerne Judge

Nandgaon

Dated 4th August.

Despatched ———

Received 6.

Enclosures No. Nos.

General No. 2525

No. 179.

Has the honor to return the proceedings in Ratanlal Lucerne Case No 104 of 1877 forwarded with the Lucerne Judge docket No 1566/1057 of the 16th July 18

By order

A. V. Woodley

(General Serial No. 29.)

3857 (30)

From 18.83
Bangalore Dated:

To R 248-82-9 Devan (12)
The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~
of Mysore, Department.

Dated 11th
Despatched
Received August

Enclosures No. 2 & a file

General No. 507 } 1883 Vol. paper
No.

I am returning the
Enclosures received with
Secretary's Memo No 251
dated 16th Instant, and
have the honor to forward
copy of the Legal Re-
-marks Report,
together with a Memo
regarding the dismissal
of Patty Chandappa
Kristnappa.

A. Macaulay
Dy Commissioner

Ripon -
13/8/83

(31)

(12/a)

From
The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.
To
The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

Dated 2? August

No 15

Sir the honor to state that although Chandappa Reddy accused in Case No 39 of 1910 on the file of this Court, was discharged under Section 253 of the Criminal Procedure Code for want of Prima facie evidence to convict him, still, the materials morally connect that he is associated the murder of his own wife, and his plea of alibi is simply untenable. The Chandappa may therefore be punished departmentally in

(32)

12/6

Jurus

The Petitioner, Kunimatsu, was the Kaiji Hoei Chuzaji of Tsuda at Kaiji. On the 5th June of January last, he received by from one Kaijiya, Jubei of Kaiji Tsuzuki on the 1st January, and again Rs. 2/ from the same individual on the 2nd March following the former receipt.

2nd. As this amount was not remitted to the Petitioner, and as it was claimed in the Account as received, a demand was made thereof on the Objectors who produced the receipts granted by the petitioner. Enquiries were made for, but were not found, and leave was made for about 4 or 5 days for the same but without answer. In the meantime the petitioner has departed, and absconded the Country, and there that the money was misled

Required.

35

A Hamilton

Dr Commissioner

W.T.

Consequently it is not incumbent upon the Court to make it known and to remark it to the Advocate Judicial Assistance.

3. On the 4th April last, the petitioner was charged before the Chief Magistrate, Port Louis, for having committed the offence punishable under section 403.

4. The petitioner was discharged under section 168 of the Criminal Procedure Code for want of legal evidence; but the Chief Magistrate did not formally convict the petitioner but merely discharged him.

5. Under the above circumstances, the petitioner was advised from the Crown Counsel to withdraw the case against him. Shortly, the Advocate General's office was not to

(General Serial No. 31.)

(36

188

L.R. 48-52-6-(13)

From Read:

Letter No 502 dated 11

To August 18th 1904 from the D.C.

Com = Hazaribagh dt.

Dated

Despatched —

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

A.C. 2904 — 9.2.05

121 6

Order.

The petitioner Bindeshwari Singh Kotri Kandaiyan, Chupri, has been discharged from custody under section 250 Criminal Procedure Code by the Magistrate of the District of Hazaribagh on the 18th August 1904 on the ground that the complainant had failed to appear on the date fixed for the hearing of the case. The petitioner has now applied to this Court for revision of the order of discharge and for an order directing the Magistrate to proceed with the case.

It appears that the petitioner had been charged with an offence under section 323 of the Indian Penal Code and that the case was fixed for hearing on the 18th August 1904. On that date the complainant failed to appear and the petitioner was discharged under section 250 Criminal Procedure Code. The petitioner contends that the Magistrate had no jurisdiction to discharge him under section 250 Criminal Procedure Code as that section applies only to cases instituted upon complaint and not to cases instituted upon police report. The petitioner relies upon the decision of this Court in the case of Ramdhari Singh v. Emperor, 31 Calcutta 191. In that case it was held that section 250 Criminal Procedure Code applies only to cases instituted upon complaint and not to cases instituted upon police report. The case was instituted upon police report and the Magistrate had no jurisdiction to discharge the petitioner under section 250 Criminal Procedure Code.

Upon a mistaken view of the law applicable to the case, but

No 566 50-5-53

L.A-48-82-38 (14)

Enforcement

Petition stated, that by
1st Final Instruction
the Circuit Court of Logan
in the Supreme Court

See Petition Statement
the Circuit Court of
Logan in Favour
for that amount to
Criminal misapplication
= tion of Public money -
the Collector Company
from certain Depts, did
not remit the amount
to the Treasury and
cheated the name of
the Dept in the act
of defraud: and for
are Entitled by him
and the my Petition for
not Limiting the amount
after Collection is, that
he last is

by Eyd Enforcement
in the Circuit the Petitioner
Criminal the Respondent

him under Section 345
of Criminal Procedure
Code upon a mistaken
view of the law applicable
to the case, but, having
at the same time to the
Sessions that the
Charges are, prima facie
and recommended for
dismissal - there are
no counter Petitions
filed and he has been
fully discharged
Proceedings are
returned.

S.D.

Sept

Leg.

Date of Exp. is 29 Sept
28-8-50 Date
Mem Sanctioned

COURT FEE
MYSORE
ONE RUPEE

Recd
24.9.87

Rs. 48-82-7

Rs. 4/9

3-10-83

(42)

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith the Land Revenue Accounts of the Bangalore Taluq for the year 1882-83 and to request that the Land Revenue Accounts of the Bangalore Taluq for the year 1883-84 may be received by the Bangalore Taluq Office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore Taluq Office,

The 3rd October 1883.

Amildar.

by Hanmak. If any one has
(5)
legitimate claims to Shanmak the
land
[illegible] shall [illegible] the fact
accordingly.—

copy

[illegible] the Patta for ten (10) years from
[illegible] Mustaabhab might be
[illegible] to take up his village
and [illegible] provided he has by that
time secured the good will of the
villagers by a respectable course.

(Signed) L. Rice

Dy Commr

Camp Kankanhalli

26th January 1883.

"Copy"

(Signed) C. A. Lawrence

Dy Commissioner

Bangalore District

is innocent or not it is known to him and to God alone. The case has been made up by the Police by instigation of his enemies. Your honour taking a review of the question of the offence alleged to have been committed by your memorialist is respectfully submitted from the papers is worthy for your reconsideration as your memorialist is innocent and his case should not be overlooked.

4 It is laid down in the late Chief Commissioner's Circular Para 7 of 1867 dated 20th October 1872 that the village headmen when they are convicted for heinous offences with their right and interest their offices should be forfeited. But in fact your memorialist has not committed the offence and as such the office has not been lost.

Your memorialist in conclusion begs to state that he is competent to take up himself the office. He has no other means of support at [illegible], depending upon the headmanship. Your memorialist is a young man, and if he be deprived of the means of his subsistence at this early age he is at a loss to know how

Your Executive Council have duly
taken your Address into their consideration.

2d. Your Executive Council perceive no reason
to doubt the correctness of the Statement
upon which your Address is founded, and
they will therefore forward a copy of it
by the first Opportunity for the information
of His Majesty's Government. Your Executive Council
have not been unmindful of the Subject
alluded to in the Address of the Deputy-Commissioners, but
have been prevented from bringing it before
the Legislature by the pressure of other
business. They will however take early
measures for the purpose of having the
Deputy-Commissioners' Address duly attended
to. Your Executive Council have also
received a Letter from the Deputy-Commissioners
dated 25th August 1838 stating that your Exe-
cutive Council would be required to pass
an Act for the purpose of carrying into
effect the Provisions of the Act of the
3d & 4th Years of Her Majesty's Reign
being Chapter 78 and intituled "An Act
to repeal certain Duties now payable
upon Goods imported into the Province
of Canada in the Provinces of Canada
Nova Scotia New Brunswick and Prince
Edward Island and to grant other Duties
in lieu thereof" and also to pass an Act
for the purpose of carrying into effect
the Provisions of the Act of the 3d & 4th
Years of Her Majesty's Reign Chapter 79
and intituled "An Act to repeal certain
Duties now payable upon Goods exported
from the Provinces of Canada Nova Scotia
New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island
and to grant other Duties in lieu thereof"
and that the Provisions of the said Acts
should be extended to the Province of
Upper Canada. Your Executive Council
are quite aware of the importance
of carrying into effect the Provisions
of the said Acts of Parliament

Copy of an order passed by the Deputy Commissioner in connection with the Thana Sattar in the Nagery Thana of the Nagery Valley.

Order.

The petitioner Nirmajan in the case "Queen" being the accused son, the nine (9) villages should be liable his name; but he cannot be allowed to undertake the duties of the office as he might appear as if Government had condoned the transactions of which he was found guilty by the Sessions Court and sentenced to three (3) years rigorous imprisonment and to a fine of 200 Rs, or in default, two years further rigorous imprisonment, viz. Thana Poisoning

2. The nine villages to be divided into groups of three each first mentioned as to Serial number, one group in the first to Rama Thana as Guarantee, one group to Chandranath as Guarantee, and the third group to the present Guarantee

r ramah. I [illegible] to
[illegible] claim to Pharamah the
[illegible] dar should reject the peti-
tion. —

[illegible] the space of ten (10) years from
the date of this notice
and [illegible] provided he has by that
time secured the good will of the
villagers by a respectable course.

(Signed) L. Rice

Dy Commr

Camp Kankanhalli

20th January 1853.

"Copy"

(Signed) Col. H. Macaulay

Dy Commissioner

Bangalore District

Order

=

1. The Th. Syndicate is to be "Exécuteur", and is adopted on, & the sum (3) Bulletin and Receipts in his name, but is annotated above to entitle the Note of the Price in the Paper when as that has ordered in Piece of Note for two pounds, Bulletin by the Syndicate to be entered to the (3) Gen. Ground Schedule to the sum of £20 0, to be deposited, two years Fixture expires unpaid, any Action pending,

2. The sum entitles to Interest at, maybe of the and Costs Affirmed as to Commencement there Case paid to be paid to Bankers Clerk as Secretary, Receipt to Clerk as Secretary the Bond paid to the present

Granted

ਸੰਖੇਪ ਵਿਚ ਲਿਖੋ ਜਾਂ ਸੰਖੇਪ ਵਿਚ ਉੱਤਰ ਦਿਓ